

Global Strategy Commentary 2Q22

Portfolio Characteristics

Price-to-Value	high-40s%
# of Holdings	23
% of Cash	1.5%
Portfolio Yield	1.5%

Confidential – For Institutional Use Only. Please see the GIPS Report included at the end of this document.

					Annualized Total Return			
	2Q (%)	YTD (%)	1 Year (%)	3 Year (%)	5 Year (%)	10 Year (%)	Since Inception (%)	
Global Strategy (Gross)	-15.28	-19.47	-22.51	0.37	0.76	6.79	5.60	
Global Strategy (Net)	-15.39	-19.68	-22.90	-0.16	0.23	6.22	4.89	
MSCI World	-16.19	-20.51	-14.34	7.00	7.67	9.51	5.06	
MSCI World Value	-11.59	-12.16	-6.63	4.52	4.67	7.62	4.67	

^{*}Since inception 9/30/2000

The Global Strategy declined 15.39% in the second quarter, ahead of the MSCI World's 16.19% decline. Although the portfolio held up better than the MSCI World, it lagged the MSCI World Value. We have seen a bifurcation of value investing approaches – with investors "paying up for quality" on one side of the spectrum and on the other extreme, what we would call a "Value ETF" that pays low multiples without regard to quality. The former worked very well over the last decade, and we missed out on opportunities by not lowering our discount rates or "paying up" in the past, but this has been a painful place to be year to date in 2022. The portfolio's relative performance benefitted by having limited exposure to growthier Information Technology – though we are finding some interesting new opportunities in fallen growth darlings this year. The latter approach has driven value's relative outperformance this year, led by energy, big pharma and consumer packaged goods (CPG) companies – great places to be in the near term, as commodity prices rallied, the Federal Reserve raised interest rates and anything that had perceived stability hung in well. We view this as the first wave of

a value rebound with the simplest, statistically cheapest and least volatile outperforming first. However, we question whether big pharma and integrated oil companies can sustain relative outperformance over the longer term. We believe the second, longer-term wave of value outperformance will come from our style of value investing, which falls somewhere in between these two extremes. We remain focused on business and people quality but also recognize that price matters, especially in an environment like today. We are finding opportunity (though we have so far proven to be early) in high quality businesses with favorable industry dynamics that have innate complexity and/or are misunderstood in the near term.

We encourage you to watch our video with Portfolio Managers Ross Glotzbach and Staley Cates for a more detailed review of the quarter.

Contribution to Return

2Q	Top Fi	ive

Company Name	Total Return (%)	Contribution to Return (%)
Prosus	21	1.24
FedEx	-2	0.12
Lumen	-1	0.04
Undisclosed	1	0.02
Undisclosed	0	-0.01

2Q Bottom Five

Company Name	Total Return (%)	Contribution to Return (%)
Warner Bros Discovery	-46	-2.82
Millicom	-31	-1.84
General Electric	-30	-1.73
EXOR	-19	-1.62
IAC	-24	-1.22

Performance Commentary

• Prosus: The holding company for Tencent and other digital investments, was the top contributor in the guarter. Prosus announced it would sell Tencent stock to repurchase its own discounted shares with authorization for up to a 50% buyback to help address the enormous price-to-value gap. We believe this is a highly accretive transaction with Prosus (and Naspers) repurchasing and canceling shares at a deep discount to NAV, while increasing exposure to Tencent on a per-share basis. The magnitude of the buyback could be sizable (\$10-\$30 billion per annum),

and the stock price reacted accordingly (up 16% on the day for this €170 billion mega-cap). Additionally, management is reworking its compensation program to tie incentives directly to closing the discount, creating much better alignment with shareholders and highlighting the strength of the management team at Prosus.

- Warner Bros Discovery: A new purchase within the last year, media conglomerate Warner Bros Discovery's (WBD) stock price has been materially impacted by a terrible Netflix quarter (that probably is a good sign for WBD long-term) and fears of a downturn impacting advertising revenues and subscribers. While we believe these are valid concerns, media has historically been an attractive industry for our style of investing and media companies have been inflation beneficiaries. While the market is taking a "show me" approach to see how the merger will unfold, we believe the company has multiple levers to grow free cash flow per share. We saw eight different insiders buy shares personally in the quarter, which is an extremely strong vote of confidence from people who have a clear view of the challenges and opportunities facing the company.
- Millicom: Latin American cable company Millicom detracted in the quarter. In 4Q21, Millicom announced a rights issue to fund a strategic acquisition of the half of its Guatemala business that Millicom didn't already own. The rights offering was only completed this quarter, and the strike price was (in our view, unnecessarily) set at an almost 50% discount to what the market was expecting, resulting in a sharp stock price decline. EBITDA performance remains on target, and guidance for the full one- and three-year targets remain intact. This company produces substantial free cash flow per share, which is being allocated mostly to grow the fiber/cable business double digits in terms of subscribers and revenues. CEO Mauricio Ramos has multiple options beyond free cash flow to deleverage the balance sheet, including sales of the company's cell tower and fintech assets.
- **General Electric**: Aviation, Healthcare and Power conglomerate GE was punished in the quarter amid top-down economic fears for this collection of seemingly cyclical businesses. However, the market is not giving the company credit for the material

improvements CEO Larry Culp has made in his tenure. The balance sheet today is stronger than it has been in a very long time, and each of the three primary business segments each have strong paths to increasing earnings, regardless of the economic environment. Healthcare has historically not been a cyclical business. While Aviation typically has some economic sensitivity, the business still has a strong COVID rebound tailwind that should continue even in an uncertain environment. Power is a less cyclical business, and GE maintains a steady business servicing approximately one-third of the world's electricity. GE is another example of strong insider buying indicating management's confidence in the business, while the company also began buying back discounted shares. GE is still on track to break the company into three separate businesses, and we believe this will help the market properly weigh the value of each core segment.

• EXOR: European holding company EXOR declined in the quarter in the face of euro weakness against the dollar and a resurgence in worry about the "Italian" exposure of the business, given its dual-listing in Netherlands and Italy. The market has also taken a "show me" approach to the announced €9 billion sale of PartnerRe to Covea, but the deal closed shortly after quarter end, removing that additional overhang. The company now has roughly half its market cap in cash, allowing EXOR to be a liquidity provider in a distressed world amidst a massive liquidity tightening. We are confident in CEO John Elkann's ability to intelligently allocate the capital to add significant value for shareholders.

Portfolio Activity

Summary of Trade Activity in 2Q

Biogen

We have taken advantage of the market volatility this year to purchase multiple new businesses that have been left behind by the market for very different reasons. As mentioned above, we are seeing opportunities in fallen growth favorites (although there are plenty of 300 cent dollars that are now closer to 100 cent dollars!), including one "recycle" company that we successfully owned in the last decade and, with hindsight, sold too early. We now have the opportunity to invest in this great company that has fallen back within our price discount range. We are also seeing opportunities in the European luxury and lifestyle sectors. We purchased another recycled company that we successfully owned in the past, along with another business in this area that we have long admired. Finally, we initiated a new position that has been described internally as "the most value of value businesses" within the consumer discretionary space that has fallen out of favor but has a proven management team with whom we have partnered before. We also exited our smaller position in Biogen, as we were able to upgrade into better opportunities with a higher margin of safety.

Outlook

The portfolio is fully invested with less than 2% cash, and our on-deck list is growing longer amid market volatility. New investments have a high hurdle to qualify given our conviction in our current holdings and the steep discount of the portfolio, which trades at a rare and attractively discounted price-to-value (P/V) in the high-40s%. We expect to see continued progress in our individual holdings, as our management partners

ig/ 6 Confidential – For Institutional Use Only

pursue catalysts that could drive significant near-term payoffs. We own companies that have pricing power, strong balance sheets and clear paths to organic growth, and we are partnered with aligned management teams that are proactively taking steps to add value in ways they can control and close the (near historically wide) value gap. We believe that our largest macro headwinds over the last decade could soon become tailwinds.

See the following for important disclosures.

Southeastern Asset Management can be found in our ADV Part 2, available at www.southeasternasset.com. Statements regarding securities are not recommendations to buy or sell the securities discussed. The statements and opinions expressed are those of the author and are as of the date of this report. Holdings identified do not represent all of the securities purchased, sold, or recommended for advisory clients. Current and future holdings are subject to risk and past performance does not guarantee future results. Strategy information is based on a sample account at June 30, 2022. Portfolio makeup and performance will vary on many factors, including client guidelines and market conditions.

P/V ("price-to-value") is a calculation that compares the prices of the stocks in a portfolio to Southeastern's appraisal of their intrinsic values. The ratio represents a single data point about a strategy and should not be construed as something more. P/V does not guarantee future results, and we caution investors not to give this calculation undue weight.

"Margin of Safety" is a reference to the difference between a stock's market price and Southeastern's calculated appraisal value. It is not a guarantee of investment performance or returns.

SOUTHEASTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC. INSTITUTIONAL GLOBAL EQUITY COMPOSITE GIPS REPORT

					Annual Performance			Composite	Benchmark
					Results Composite			3-Yr	3-Yr
	Total Firm	Composite		MSCI				Annualized	Annualized
	Assets	Assets	Number	World				EX-Post	EX-Post
Year	(USD)	(USD)	of	(with net			Composite	Standard	Standard
End	(millions)	(millions)	Accounts	dividends)	Gross	Net	Dispersion	Deviation	Deviation
2021	10,816	775	6	21.8%	10.1%	9.6%	1.1%	23.4%	17.1%
2020	10,270	2,062	7	15.9%	6.3%	5.7%	0.6%	24.2%	18.3%
2019	12,481	2,394	14	27.7%	20.6%	19.9%	0.7%	15.2%	11.1%
2018	13,881	2,475	17	-8.7%	-15.1%	-15.5%	0.6%	14.7%	10.4%
2017	18,203	3,149	17	22.4%	27.7%	27.0%	5.2%	15.1%	10.2%
2016	19,302	3,873	20	7.5%	16.3%	15.8%	3.0%	15.4%	10.9%
2015	20,315	4,822	31	-0.9%	-9.2%	-9.6%	2.0%	13.7%	10.8%
2014	30,542	6,779	33	4.9%	-1.6%	-2.3%	1.2%	13.5%	10.2%
2013	34,914	9,680	45	26.7%	34.3%	33.4%	1.6%	17.9%	13.5%
2012	31,752	8,898	53	15.8%	15.5%	14.8%	2.1%	20.1%	16.7%

<u>Institutional Global Equity Composite</u> - Portfolios included in this composite normally contain 18-22 securities, which are generally a subset of those held in U.S. and non-U.S. portfolios. The subset reflects the companies with the most attractive qualifications at the time an account has cash. Country and industry weightings and market cap size are a by-product of bottom-up investment decisions. Cash is a by-product of a lack of investment opportunities that meet Southeastern's criteria. The benchmark used for comparison is the MSCI World Index with net dividends.

Southeastern Asset Management, Inc. claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Southeastern Asset Management, Inc. has been independently verified for the periods January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2021. A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related

to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. The Institutional Global Equity Composite has had a performance examination for the periods January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2021. The verification and performance examination reports are available upon request.

GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein.

Southeastern is an independent investment management firm that is not affiliated with any parent organization. Southeastern invests primarily in equities. Results are based on fully discretionary portfolios under management that are managed without regard to tax considerations. Past performance is not indicative of future results. A list of composite descriptions, a list of limited distribution pooled fund descriptions, and a list of broad distribution pooled funds are available upon request. The U.S. dollar is the currency used to express performance. Returns are presented gross and net of management and performance fees and include the reinvestment of income. Dividends are recorded either gross or net of foreign withholding taxes based on the treatment of these taxes by the accounts' custodian. Net of fee performance is calculated using actual management and performance fees. The annual composite dispersion presented is an asset-weighted standard deviation calculated for the portfolios in the composite the entire year. Composite dispersion and 3 year annualized ex-post standard deviation are reported using gross returns. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request.

The investment management fee schedule for accounts with a market value less than \$100 million is 1.0% on the first \$50 million and 0.875% on the next \$50 million. The

/ 10 Confidential – For Institutional Use Only

fee schedule for accounts with a market value exceeding \$100 million is 0.75% on all assets. Actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary.

The Institutional Global Equity Composite was created on July 1, 2011. The inception date for this composite is September 30, 2000.